FILED
Scott L. Poff, Clerk
United States District Court

By staylor at 1:25 pm, Jan 02, 2019

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA BRUNSWICK DIVISION

STA	CV	MII	T	ED	
OLA	C I	IVIIL	<i>_</i> L	ZEN.	

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:17-cv-144

v.

CITY OF DARIEN; DONNIE HOWARD; RYAN ALEXANDER; and ARCHIE DAVIS,

Defendants.

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) and the Local Rules of this Court, the Court issues the following scheduling order in this matter. With the issue having been joined on October 17, 2018, and the parties having conducted their Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) Conference on December 3, 2018, the following deadlines shall apply. These deadlines shall not be extended except upon a specific showing of good cause and order of the Court. Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). In setting these deadlines, the Court has granted the parties' request for a 60-day extension of the discovery period. Doc. 36 at 6. It is the Court's expectation that the parties will not need an extension of these deadlines. The showing of good cause necessary to obtain an extension of any of these deadlines requires a specific showing of what the parties have accomplished to date in discovery, what remains to be accomplished, and why the parties have not been able to meet the Court's deadlines. Bare boilerplate assertions such as "the parties have diligently pursued discovery to date, but additional time is necessary" will not suffice to establish good cause.

Additionally, should any party seek an extension of these deadlines or seek the extension of any other deadline in this case (including an extension of a deadline to respond to a motion or file any other pleading), the party should first contact all other parties and determine if the other parties join in, consent to, or oppose the request for an extension. When filing the motion for an extension, the party requesting the extension must state in their motion for an extension whether the other parties join in, consent to, or oppose the request for an extension.

WRITTEN DISCOVERY UNDER RULES 33 THROUGH 36 OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE¹

March 7, 2019

DISCOVERY DEPOSITIONS OF WITNESSES WHO HAVE NOT BEEN DESIGNATED AS EXPERTS

May 6, 2019

POST-DISCOVERY STATUS REPORT DUE²

May 10, 2019

DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE

May 15, 2019

LAST DAY FOR FILING ALL CIVIL MOTIONS, INCLUDING *DAUBERT* MOTIONS, BUT EXCLUDING MOTIONS IN LIMINE

May 27, 2019

DEPOSITIONS OF ALL WITNESSES (WHETHER FACT OR EXPERT) THAT ARE *DE BEN ESSE* DEPOSITIONS AND TAKEN NOT FOR DISCOVERY BUT FOR USE AT TRIAL

July 5, 2019

PRE-TRIAL ORDER DUE

August 5, 2019

The Court fully expects the parties to have initiated all discovery requests by this deadline.

A Status Report Form is available on the Court's website <u>www.gasd.uscourts.gov</u> under "forms." The parties are directed to use the content and format contained in this Form when reporting to the Court.

The Court **ORDERS** lead counsel for the parties to prepare and file with the Clerk of this Court a joint consolidated proposed pretrial order by August 5, 2019. If a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion is pending at the time of this deadline, the deadline for filing the pretrial order is automatically extended. In such event, the parties shall file the proposed pretrial order **within twenty-one days** of this Court's ruling on the motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion.

The pretrial order shall be in the format for Judge Lisa Godbey Wood. The required form can be located at the Court's website www.gasd.uscourts.gov under "Forms." Counsel for Plaintiff shall have the responsibility to initiate compliance. A party's failure to comply with the requirements hereof may result in dismissal of the Complaint, striking of the Answer, or in other sanctions the Court determines are appropriate. If any party in this case is not represented by counsel, such party shall be obligated to comply with the requirements hereof in the same manner as counsel.

The Court further **ORDERS** that the Clerk schedule this case for a pretrial conference.

At the time of the pretrial conference, the Court will approve, disapprove, or direct amendment of the proposed pretrial order. Lead counsel for each party shall attend the pretrial conference.

The parties shall attempt to resolve evidentiary objections and potential motions in limine well in advance of the pretrial conference. Thus, the parties shall not file any evidentiary objections or motions in limine without first seeking to resolve the issues raised in the objection or the motion in limine with the opposing party. The moving party must state in its evidentiary objections and motions in limine that it has attempted to resolve the issues raised therein with the other parties, and it must further state which other parties oppose the relief sought.

Any evidentiary objections and motions in limine which have not been resolved prior to the pretrial conference shall be submitted in writing **at least five days** prior to the pretrial conference. The parties shall not file any motions in limine or evidentiary objections after this deadline without first seeking leave of court. Leave to file will only be granted upon a showing of extraordinary circumstances. All responses to evidentiary objections and motions in limine shall be submitted within **fourteen days** of the filing of the objection or motion.

Proposed pretrial orders which are not consolidated (proposed jointly) will not be accepted for filing without the Court's written permission.

SO ORDERED, this 2nd day of January, 2019.

BENJAMIN W. CHEESBRO

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA